


---

# Insights refuge England and Wales dataset 2014-17

## Adult refuge services



SafeLives

Ending  
domestic  
abuse

# Contents

<b>At a glance</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Key findings</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Service inputs</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Client profile</b>	<b>4</b>
Socio-demographic description of clients accessing the service	4
Profile and history of abuse at intake	6
<b>Client outcomes</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Service outputs</b>	<b>15</b>
Interventions	15
<b>Criminal and civil justice outcomes</b>	<b>18</b>
Criminal justice system outcomes	18
Civil justice outcomes	23

## About this dataset

This data report forms part of a series of publications from SafeLives' Adult Insights dataset. It contains 1,481 unique individual cases at intake and 1,145 matched cases at exit, drawn from 9 refuge services across England and Wales which used the SafeLives Insights outcome measurement service between April 2014 and March 2017. Please note that due to rounding errors, some columns may not add up to 100%.

SafeLives runs the largest national database of domestic abuse cases in the UK. Our Insights database has records of more than 64,000 unique cases of adults experiencing domestic abuse from 2009 to date, and a further 3,000 unique cases of children in domestic abuse households from 2011 to date. These datasets give us an unparalleled overview of the national picture of domestic abuse. We hope that everyone working to stop domestic abuse will be able to use this data to improve their services so that victims and families get the right help sooner.

# At a glance

**9 services**

**1,481 clients entered services**


**1,145 cases closed**

**173 clients supported with criminal and civil justice**




Location of the services in the dataset


## Proportion of clients from diverse client groups




# Key findings




The most frequent referral route was **DV and SV services**, followed by **self-referrals**




**Over half** of clients had issues with **mental health**, and **18%** had a **disability**. **7%** of clients had a **physical disability**.




**Over 70%** of clients were aged **between 21 and 40**. The average age of clients accessing refuge services was **30**



**Half** of children in households where domestic abuse was taking place **were not known to children's services**



On average, each client was supported for **1.4 months** and accessed **4.1 areas** of support



On exit, **89%** of clients reported **feeling safer**, **79%** felt **their quality of life had improved**, and **80%** felt **confident in accessing support in the future**.

# Service inputs

## Cases used in the analysis

Intake forms 1,481

In the period April 2014 to March 2017, caseworkers submitted 1,631 intake forms for clients entering refuge services. 134 forms were excluded from the dataset due to the client appearing twice, and 18 forms were excluded due to being completed incorrectly. These forms contain information about client demographics, and the characteristics of the abuse that clients accessing refuge services are experiencing.

Exit forms 1,145

Caseworkers submitted 1,267 exit forms for clients leaving refuge services for the period April 2014 to March 2017. Of these 88 of these were excluded due the client appearing more than once, and 36 were excluded due to being completed incorrectly. These forms were then matched to their corresponding intake form to provide a picture of client outcomes at the point of exit compared to intake. They also contain information about what interventions the client accessed.

Intake dates may occur prior to the period April 2014 to March 2017.

Criminal and civil justice forms 173

Caseworkers supporting clients with criminal and civil justice (CCJ) submitted 193 forms for the period April 2014 to March 2017. Of these, 7 were excluded due to the client appearing more than once and 13 were excluded due to being completed incorrectly. These forms provide information on the outcomes of any criminal and civil justice interventions at exit.

New Referrals/ Repeats	2014 - 2017	
	%	n=
New Referrals	86%	1281
Repeats	14%	200
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1481</b>

Cases are deemed repeats if the client has returned to the service after their case was previously closed (or made inactive).

Primary Referral Route	%	n
Police	13%	198
Marac	1%	9
Self-referrals	27%	393
Health	3%	48
DV and SV services	28%	410
Housing	11%	169
CYP services	11%	166
Specialist services	3%	41
Other	3%	47

# Client profile

## Socio-demographic description of client accessing refuge services

(Information captured at intake, number of cases (n) = 1,481)

Demographic information at intake		
Age of client	%	n
<18	2%	26
18-20	9%	134
21-30	41%	600
31-40	31%	455
41-50	13%	196
51-60	4%	54
61+	1%	16

Gender identity	%	n
Female	99%	1472
Male	1%	9
Intersex	0%	0
Not gender assigned at birth	<1%	5

Sexual Orientation	%	n
Heterosexual	93%	1374
LGB	3%	36
Missing	4%	71

Ethnicity	%	n
White British or Irish	78%	1156
Other white background	3%	41
Asian	12%	178
Black	3%	39
Dual Heritage	2%	27
Arab	1%	9
Other	3%	47
<b>Total B&amp;ME</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>313</b>

National B&ME percentage is 18.6%. Note this dataset only reflects the demographics of the areas in which the services are located. Some year on year variation in B&ME percentage is therefore also due to changes in the services which make up the dataset.

Immigration	%	n
Clients needing an interpreter	5%	79
Clients with no recourse to public funds	10%	154
Clients needing to apply for ILR	4%	54

Children	%	n
Children in household	56%	832
No children in household	44%	649
Clients who are pregnant	9%	136

<b>Total number of children</b>	<b>1668</b>
<b>Average number of children per household with children</b>	<b>2.0</b>

Ages of children	%	n
Under 3 years old	27%	457
3 to 4 years old	15%	248
5 to 7 years old	19%	314
8 to 11 years old	17%	288
12 to 14 years old	9%	146
15 to 17 years old	6%	95
Missing	7%	120

Children and young people services (CYPS)	n = 832	
CYPS Involvement	%	n
Clients with CYPS involvement with the family	45%	377
Clients with no CYPS involvement with the family	50%	416
Missing	4%	39

Type of CYPS Involvement	%	n
Concern raised - no further action	1%	9
Concern raised - contacts/follow up	2%	15
Initial assessment	3%	23
S17 - Child in need	11%	95
S47 - Child protection	15%	121
S31 - Care or supervision order	2%	20
Child protection plan	3%	23
CAF	4%	32
Other	7%	56

Multiple needs at intake		
		n = 1481
Within the past 12 months	%	n
Drugs misuse	12%	185
Alcohol misuse	12%	171
Mental health problems	53%	780
At any time		
Threatened or attempted suicide	26%	389
Self harm	26%	388
Client has a disability		
	%	n
Physical	7%	106
Learning	5%	80
Visual	1%	13
Hearing	1%	22
Other	3%	47

## Profile and history of abuse at intake

(Information captured at intake, n=1,481)

Clients' circumstances at intake		
Employment/ education	%	n
Paid employment	9%	132
Voluntary employment	<1%	6
In education/training	10%	141
Not in employment/ education	76%	1132
No (retired)	<1%	2
Missing	5%	68
Financial circumstances		
	%	n
Struggling to pay for essentials	16%	234
Can pay for essentials but nothing left after	12%	180
Can buy occasional treat and save a little	7%	98
Regular treats and saving or holidays	1%	8
Comfortably managing - don't have to worry	2%	32
Missing	62%	929

Information for financial circumstances was not collected prior to 2016, so data shown is from the period April 2016 to March 2017



Relationship to perpetrator	%	n
Intimate partner	34%	506
Ex-intimate partner	54%	797
Intermittent intimate partner	1%	12
Family member (adult)	8%	116
Family member (minor)	<1%	5
Other	1%	21

Additional risks	%	n
Multiple perpetrators	20%	291
Risk of forced marriage	4%	53
Risk of 'honour'-based violence	10%	154

Living arrangements	%	n
Living together	21%	310
Not living together	75%	1112
Living together intermittently	3%	49

Risk profile at intake		
Dash score	%	n
Between 0-5	7%	98
Between 6-9	14%	202
Over 10	80%	1175
<b>Average Dash score</b>		<b>13 ticks</b>

Risk level	%	n
High risk	60%	896
Non-high risk	40%	585
Marac threshold	54%	799

60% of clients were assessed as high risk at intake and 54% of clients reached the threshold for referral to a multi-agency risk assessment conference (Marac).

History of abuse at intake		
Length of abuse	%	n
0-12 months	20%	322
1-2 years	14%	233
2-5 years	30%	495
5-10 years	19%	312
10+ years	17%	272
<b>Average length of abuse</b>		<b>3 years</b>

History of abuse		
	%	n
<b>n= 401</b>		
Yes, same partner in an earlier relationship	17%	69
Yes, by previous intimate partner	25%	99
Yes, perpetrated by family member	10%	40
Yes, direct abuse as a child/young person	10%	41
Yes, exposure to domestic abuse as a child/young person	7%	28
Yes, other	7%	28
No	32%	128

Information for history of abuse was not collected prior to 2016, so data shown is from the period April 2016 to March 2017

Attempts to leave perpetrator in past 12 months		
Has the client attempted to leave the perpetrator?	%	n
Yes	81%	1200
No	12%	184
Not Applicable	6%	91
<b>Average number of times</b>		<b>4.3</b>

Use of public services in past 12 months		
Reported the abuse to the police	%	n
Yes	61%	904
No	29%	432
Missing	10%	145
<b>Average number of times</b>		<b>3.2</b>

Visited their GP (for any reason)	%	n
Yes	54%	795
No	26%	386
Missing	20%	300
<b>Average number of times</b>		<b>6.1</b>

Attended A&E (as a result of the abuse)	%	n
Yes	21%	313
No	66%	972
Missing	13%	196
<b>Average number of times</b>		<b>1.9</b>

Accessed other specialist DV service	%	n
Yes	36%	534
No	47%	695
Missing	17%	252
<b>Average number of times</b>		<b>1.8</b>

Average number of times excludes data not applicable, available or missing

Profile of abuse at intake		
For the 3 months prior to intake:		
Type of abuse experienced by clients	%	n
Physical abuse	73%	1083
Sexual abuse	37%	544
Harassment & stalking	75%	1106
Jealous & controlling behaviours	89%	1316

Severity of abuse		
% of clients experiencing high severity abuse	%	n
Physical abuse	46%	675
Sexual abuse	15%	224
Harassment & stalking	45%	673
Jealous & controlling behaviours	58%	864

% of clients experiencing moderate severity abuse	%	n
Physical abuse	18%	270
Sexual abuse	12%	176
Harassment & stalking	18%	269
Jealous & controlling behaviours	21%	311

% of clients experiencing standard severity abuse	%	n
Physical abuse	9%	138
Sexual abuse	10%	144
Harassment & stalking	11%	164
Jealous & controlling behaviours	9%	138

Changes in severity of abuse	
% of clients experiencing increase in severity of abuse	%
Physical abuse	46%
Sexual abuse	18%
Harassment & stalking	46%
Jealous & controlling behaviours	57%

**% of clients experiencing no change in severity of abuse**

Physical abuse	20%
Sexual abuse	15%
Harassment & stalking	22%
Jealous & controlling behaviours	25%

**% of clients experiencing reduction in severity of abuse**

Physical abuse	6%
Sexual abuse	3%
Harassment & stalking	5%
Jealous & controlling behaviours	6%

**Changes in frequency of abuse****% of clients experiencing increase in frequency of abuse**

Physical abuse	44%
Sexual abuse	17%
Harassment & stalking	45%
Jealous & controlling behaviours	56%

**% of clients experiencing no change in frequency of abuse**

Physical abuse	21%
Sexual abuse	16%
Harassment & stalking	23%
Jealous & controlling behaviours	25%

**% of clients experiencing decrease in frequency of abuse**

Physical abuse	7%
Sexual abuse	3%
Harassment & stalking	5%
Jealous & controlling behaviours	6%

**Multiple types of abuse and escalation**

	<b>%</b>	<b>n</b>
Multiple types of abuse reported	86%	1268
Multiple types of high severity abuse reported	53%	788
At least one form of high severity abuse	71%	1058
At least one form of high severity abuse which is escalating in frequency or severity	58%	860
Any escalation in severity of abuse	68%	1003
Any escalation in frequency of abuse	66%	971
Any escalation in severity or frequency of abuse	70%	1036

Primary perpetrator information		
Gender & gender identity	%	n
Female	4%	62
Male	95%	1406
Intersex	<1%	2
Not gender assigned at birth	1%	9

Criminal record		
	%	n
Yes - DV related	32%	468
Yes - other violent crime	28%	409
Yes - non-violent crime	21%	311
No	21%	312

# Client outcomes

## Outcomes and profile of abuse at exit (Information captured at exit, n=1,145)

The following is an analysis of cases where an exit form was completed during the reporting period. Cases have been matched with their corresponding intake forms, and intake data here relates only to the cases which also have exit data, so will vary from the number of cases in the intake dataset.

86% of the cases with exit data were closed by the case worker according to the service's case closure policy. 14% were made inactive due to a prolonged period of no contact.

Client circumstances at exit		n = 1145
Living arrangements at exit	%	n
Living together	9%	102
Not living together	83%	951
Living together intermittently	1%	16
Missing	7%	76

Where not living together, do the following apply?		Not living together = 951
	%	n
Perpetrator in jail	5%	46
Serious illness or death of perpetrator	<1%	2
Other (perpetrator abroad, military duty, etc)	25%	240
None of the above	44%	416

If not living together, is there ongoing contact?	%	n
Clients reporting ongoing contact	22%	206
Clients reporting no ongoing contact	64%	609
Missing	14%	136

Reasons for ongoing contact		Ongoing contact = 206
	%	n
Children	69%	143
Family and social network	7%	14
Legal proceedings	6%	13
Financial arrangements	3%	6
Ongoing abuse	19%	39
Ongoing intimate partner relationship	4%	8
Other	12%	24

"Ongoing intimate partner relationship" option was added in Jan 2016, so the figures shown will be lower than the true figures.

Employment/education			n = 1145
	%	n	
Paid	8%	86	
Voluntary	1%	6	
In education	2%	28	
No	83%	956	
No (retired)	<1%	2	
Missing	6%	67	

Profile of abuse at exit compared to intake			n = 1145
	%	n	
No abuse experienced in past month / since intake	56%	641	

Type of abuse at exit compared to intake				
	%		n	
	Intake	Exit	Intake	Exit
Physical abuse	73%	20%	835	233
Sexual abuse	35%	9%	398	107
Harassment/stalking	74%	32%	852	365
Jealous and controlling behaviours	88%	31%	1013	351

% of clients experiencing high severity abuse vs. intake		
	%	
	Intake	Exit
Physical abuse	46%	10%
Sexual abuse	16%	4%
Harassment/stalking	46%	13%
Jealous and controlling behaviours	57%	14%

% of clients experiencing moderate severity abuse vs. intake		
	%	
	Intake	Exit
Physical abuse	18%	5%
Sexual abuse	11%	3%
Harassment/stalking	19%	8%
Jealous and controlling behaviours	23%	8%

% of clients experiencing standard severity abuse vs. intake		
	%	
	Intake	Intake
Physical abuse	9%	5%
Sexual abuse	8%	2%
Harassment/stalking	10%	11%
Jealous and controlling behaviours	9%	9%

Multiple types of abuse and escalation at exit compared to intake				
	%		n	
	Intake	Exit	Intake	Exit
Multiple types of abuse reported	85%	28%	978	318
Multiple types of high severity abuse reported	53%	13%	606	147
At least one form of high severity abuse	71%	18%	811	209
At least one form of high severity abuse which is escalating in frequency or severity	58%	6%	659	74
Any escalation in severity of abuse	67%	7%	768	85
Any escalation in frequency of abuse	65%	7%	740	82
Any escalation in severity or frequency of abuse	69%	8%	794	90

Caseworker perception of risk at exit		
	%	n
Risk reduction		
Significant	37%	427
Moderate	25%	289
Limited	22%	248
Increased Risk	7%	79
Missing	0%	0
<b>Significant/Moderate</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>716</b>

	%	n
Sustainability of any reduction in risk		
Very short term	2%	16
Short term	8%	55
Medium term	27%	195
Long term	29%	207
Risk permanently eliminated	8%	54
Missing	26%	189

Client reported outcomes at exit		
	%	n
Feelings of safety		
Much safer	58%	570
Somewhat safer	22%	215
No change	9%	84
Less safe	1%	5
Missing	10%	107
<b>Somewhat / much safer</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>785</b>

	%	n
Quality of life		
Improved a lot	54%	531
Improved a little	25%	241
Not changed	10%	95
Become worse	1%	13
Missing	10%	101
<b>Much / a little improved</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>772</b>



Confidence in accessing support	%	n
Very confident	56%	545
Confident	33%	328
Not confident	1%	10
Missing	10%	98
<b>Very confident/confident</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>873</b>

## Service outputs

### Interventions

(Information captured at exit, n=1,145)

Case status at exit	%	n
Closed	86%	981
Unplanned closure	14%	164
Client fatality	0%	0

Intensity of support	%	n
Less than 5 contacts	15%	174
Between 5 and up to 10 contacts	12%	136
More than 10 contacts	73%	833
<b>Average case length</b>		<b>1.4 months</b>

Number of interventions	%	n
0 or 1 areas of support	17%	199
2 or 3 areas of support	18%	211
4 or 5 areas of support	36%	412
More than 5 areas of support	28%	323
<b>Average number of interventions per client</b>		<b>4.1</b>

Types of interventions and outcomes	n = 1145	
Areas of support	%	n
Safety planning	73%	835
Marac	40%	463
Police	29%	328
Criminal court process	9%	105
Probation	2%	21
Civil orders	8%	91
Housing	72%	819
Financial benefits	60%	691
Immigration	4%	41
Health & well-being	69%	795
Children	39%	445
HBV / Forced marriage	3%	29

Safelives recommends that all clients should receive safety planning.

## Interventions accessed in each area of support

As a % of all clients accessing that area of support

Safety planning		Clients accessing support area = 835	
	%	n	
Safety plan	98%	817	

Police		Clients accessing support area = 328	
	%	n	
Protective measures	52%	169	
Arrest	23%	76	
Other	56%	184	

Criminal court process		Clients accessing support area = 105	
	%	n	
Process ongoing or pending	54%	57	
Conviction and sentence	34%	36	
Bail conditions	44%	46	
Other	35%	37	

Probation		Clients accessing support area = 21	
	%	n	
IDAP/perpetrator programme	33%	7	
Other	86%	18	

Civil orders		Clients accessing support area = 91	
	%	n	
Granted and enforced	68%	62	
Other	37%	34	

Housing		Clients accessing support area = 819	
	%	n	
Sanctuary scheme	7%	54	
Client re-housed in area	29%	238	
Client moved out of area	24%	195	
Perpetrator evicted	2%	20	
Refuge	54%	446	
Other	40%	327	

Financial benefits		Clients accessing support area = 691	
	%	n	
Benefits/monetary support	90%	624	
Debt being addressed	21%	147	
Employment (paid/ voluntary)	7%	49	
Other	27%	189	

Immigration	Clients accessing support area = 41	
	%	n
Leave to remain not dependent on perpetrator	46%	19
Recourse to public funds	51%	21
Other support with immigration	44%	18

Health & well-being	Clients accessing support area = 795	
	%	n
Improved access to help and support	80%	633
Improved coping strategies	55%	438
Clients engagement with:		
Mental health services	23%	183
Other health services	30%	239
Drug services	5%	42
Alcohol services	6%	44
Specialist DV services (not refuge)	8%	65
Specialist BME DV service	2%	15
Counselling	14%	115
Pattern changing course/similar	17%	135
Positive change in clients' support networks	35%	278
Engagement with adult safeguarding	4%	30
Midwife	4%	35
Peer-support Group	13%	104
Other	28%	223

Children	Clients accessing support area = 445	
	%	n
Child contact arrangements in place	25%	110
Safeguarding initiated/ issued/ addressed	44%	194
Civil orders (children) granted & enforced	7%	33
Special needs of children addressed	15%	65
Parenting courses	6%	27
Statutory Children's Service involvement	14%	63
Specialist DV support for CYP	22%	97
Other	41%	182

HBV / Forced marriage	Clients accessing support area = 29	
	%	n
Forced Marriage Unit	28%	8
Honour-based violence helpline	17%	5
Other specialist HBV/FM service	41%	12
Other	62%	18

# Criminal and civil justice outcomes

## Criminal justice system outcomes (Information captured at exit, n=173)

Criminal justice outcomes		n = 1145
Police involvement		
Was a police report made?	%	n
Yes, made by the victim	8%	89
Yes, made by other	1%	10
No	5%	62
<b>Total clients who had a police report made</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>99</b>

When was the report made?	%	n
Before engagement with service	6%	72
After engagement with service	2%	21

The above shows when the police report was made as percentages of all cases reviewed at exit. Below is shown as a percentages of all police reports made.

Police action		Police reports = 99	
Was the perpetrator arrested?	%	n	
Yes	65%	64	
No	25%	25	

Was a domestic violence protection notice issued?	%	n
Yes, issued	11%	11
No	72%	71

Was a domestic violence protection order granted?	%	n
Applied, not granted	0%	0
Applied, granted	17%	17
Not applied	65%	64

Action taken	%	n
Cautioned	8%	8
Fixed penalty notice	0%	0
Charged	47%	47
No further action	22%	22

Crown prosecution service		Cases charged = 47	
As % of cases charged			
Perpetrator was:	%	n	
Released on bail	57%	27	
Remanded in custody	34%	16	
Did CPS proceed?		%	n
Yes, with support of victim		70%	33
Yes, but victim withdrew		13%	6
No, insufficient evidence		2%	1
Court information		CPS proceeded = 39	
As % of CPS proceeded			
Where was the case initially heard?	%	n	
Magistrate - SDVC	44%	17	
Magistrate - Other	38%	15	
Was the case passed to crown court?		%	n
Yes, for trial		15%	6
Yes, for sentencing		8%	3
Yes, for appeal		0%	0
No		54%	21
Who attended court?		%	n
Victim		38%	15
Perpetrator		79%	31
Other		23%	9
Caseworker		18%	7
Witness service		15%	6
Were special measures granted?		%	n
Not requested		38%	15
Granted		38%	15
Denied		<1%	1
Court outcomes		%	n
Pled guilty		46%	18
Convicted		18%	7
<b>Guilty verdict</b>		<b>64%</b>	<b>25</b>
Acquitted		5%	2

Charges applied for		Cases charged = 47	
Offences against the person	%	n	
ABH (S47)	11%	5	
Affray	0%	0	
Assault by penetration	4%	2	
Attempted murder	0%	0	
Breach of restraining order	11%	5	
Breach of non-molestation order	13%	6	
Breach of the peace	0%	0	
Common assault	40%	19	
Communications/ malicious Communications Act offences	0%	0	
Drunk & disorderly	0%	0	
Female genital mutilation	0%	0	
Forced marriage	0%	0	
GBH (S18)	2%	1	
GBH (S20)	2%	1	
Harassment (S2)	6%	3	
Harassment (S4)	6%	3	
Indecent assault	2%	1	
Murder	0%	0	
Public order act offences	0%	0	
Rape	6%	3	
Stalking (S2A)	0%	0	
Stalking (S4A)	0%	0	
Sexual assault	0%	0	
Threats to kill	0%	0	
Witness intimidation	9%	4	
Other offences against the person	2%	1	
Don't know	9%	4	
Offences against property	%	n	
Arson	2%	1	
Burglary/ attempted	2%	1	
Criminal trespassing	0%	0	
Criminal damage	9%	4	
Theft	0%	0	
Threat to damage	0%	0	
Other offences against property	0%	0	
Don't know	11%	5	

**Outcomes as % of charges applied for**  
**For the 5 most common offences**

ABH (S47)		Charges applied for = 5	
	%	n	
Pleaded guilty	40%	2	
Convicted	40%	2	
Acquitted	20%	1	
Missing	0%	0	

Breach of restraining order		Charges applied for = 5	
	%	n	
Pleaded guilty	40%	2	
Convicted	20%	1	
Acquitted	0%	0	
Missing	40%	2	

Breach of non-molestation order		Charges applied for = 6	
	%	n	
Pleaded guilty	33%	2	
Convicted	33%	2	
Acquitted	0%	0	
Missing	33%	2	

Common assault		Charges applied for = 19	
	%	n	
Pleaded guilty	37%	7	
Convicted	11%	2	
Acquitted	0%	0	
Missing	53%	10	

Witness intimidation		Charges applied for = 4	
	%	n	
Pleaded guilty	50%	2	
Convicted	25%	1	
Acquitted	0%	0	
Missing	25%	1	

**Offences against property**

Where n&gt;1

Criminal damage		Charges applied for = 4	
	%	n	
Pleaded guilty	75%	3	
Convicted	0%	0	
Acquitted	0%	0	
Missing	25%	1	

Penalties imposed as % of guilty verdicts		Guilty verdicts = 25	
	%	n	
DV-related specified activity order	15%	4	
Other specified activity order	12%	3	
Exclusion order	0%	0	
Other order	8%	2	
DV-related specified activity order	0%	0	
Other specified activity order	8%	2	
Exclusion order	0%	0	
Other requirements	4%	1	
up to 12 months	23%	6	
12 months or more	12%	3	
Indeterminate sentence	0%	0	
up to 1 year	23%	6	
1 -5 years	27%	7	
5 or more years	0%	0	
Indefinite	8%	2	
Bindover	0%	0	
Fine	8%	2	
Caution	0%	0	
Compensation	23%	6	
Other	8%	2	
Don't know	15%	4	



## Civil justice outcomes

(Information captured at exit, n=44)

Civil justice outcomes		
Was the client supported with civil justice?	%	n
Yes	4%	44
No	11%	129
Missing	85%	972

Legal aid	Supported with civil justice = 44	
	%	n
Qualified for legal aid	80%	35
Did not qualify for legal aid	7%	3
Did not apply	5%	2

Provision of legal aid	%	n
Solicitor	95%	42
McKenzie friend	0%	0
Idva (DIY order)	5%	2
Other	0%	0
No legal support	0%	0

Civil orders applied for	%	n
Non-molestation order	70%	31
Occupation order with power of arrest (PoA)	7%	3
Occupation order	2%	1
Order under Protection from Harassment Act	0%	0
Injunction under Forced Marriage Act with PoA	0%	0
Contact order	11%	5
Prohibited steps order	18%	8
Specific issue order	0%	0
Residence order	25%	11
Other orders under the Children Act	5%	2

Civil orders granted/breached		
Non-molestation order	%	n
As a % of orders applied for:		n= 31
Granted	74%	23
Under-taking	10%	3
As a % of orders granted:		n= 23
Interim	4%	1
Final	22%	5
Indefinite	0%	0
Breached	26%	6

Occupation order with power of arrest (PoA)		
	%	n
As a % of orders applied for:		n= 3
Granted	67%	2
Under-taking	0%	0
As a % of orders granted:		n= 2
Interim	0%	0
Final	100%	2
Indefinite	0%	0
Breached	0%	0

Occupation order		
	%	n
As a % of orders applied for:		n= 1
Granted	100%	1
Under-taking	0%	0
As a % of orders granted:		n= 1
Interim	0%	0
Final	100%	1
Indefinite	0%	0
Breached	0%	0

Contact order		
	%	n
As a % of orders applied for:		n= 5
Granted	20%	1
Under-taking	40%	2
As a % of orders granted:		n= 1
Interim	100%	1
Final	0%	0
Indefinite	0%	0
Breached	100%	1

Prohibited steps order	%	n
As a % of orders applied for:		n= 8
Granted	63%	5
Under-taking	25%	2
As a % of orders granted:		n= 5
Interim	40%	2
Final	20%	1
Indefinite	0%	0
Breached	0%	0

Residence order	%	n
As a % of orders applied for:		n= 11
Granted	55%	6
Under-taking	18%	2
As a % of orders granted:		n= 6
Interim	33%	2
Final	33%	2
Indefinite	0%	0
Breached	0%	0

Other orders under the Children Act	%	n
As a % of orders applied for:		n= 2
Granted	0%	0
Under-taking	50%	1
As a % of orders granted:		n= 0
Interim	0%	0
Final	0%	0
Indefinite	0%	0
Breached	0%	0

Outcomes of breached orders	%	n
Criminal charge in respect of breach of non-mol	20%	1
In contempt of court and fined/imprisoned	0%	0
Application for warrant of arrest	0%	0
Adjourned	0%	0
Dismissed at civil court	0%	0
Other	40%	2
No further action	40%	2

Applications made by the perpetrator			n= 44
Cross applications made by the perpetrator	%	n	
Contact order	18%	8	
Other orders under Children Act	5%	2	
Other cross application	0%	0	

Fact finding hearing in cases where a cross application was made			n= 9
	%	n	
Cases where there was a fact finding hearing	44%	4	

Other perpetrator orders			n= 44
	2016	2015	
Cases where the perpetrator applied for any other orders	7%	3	