

Insights health England and Wales dataset 2015-18

Adult health-based services



SafeLives

**Ending
domestic
abuse**

Contents

At a glance	1
Key findings	2
Service inputs	3
Client profile	4
Socio-demographic description of clients accessing the service	4
Profile and history of abuse at intake	7
Client outcomes	12
Service outputs	15
Interventions	15
Criminal and civil justice outcomes	18
Criminal justice system outcomes	18
Civil justice outcomes	23

About this dataset

This data report forms part of a series of publications from SafeLives' Adult Insights dataset. It contains 938 unique individual cases at intake and 785 matched cases at exit, drawn from 11 health services across England and Wales which used the SafeLives Insights outcome measurement service between April 2015 and March 2018. Please note that due to rounding errors, some columns may not add up to 100%.

SafeLives runs the largest national database of domestic abuse cases in the UK. Our Insights database has records of more than 69,000 unique cases of adults experiencing domestic abuse from 2009 to date, and a further 3,617 unique cases of children in domestic abuse households from 2011 to date. These datasets give us an unparalleled overview of the national picture of domestic abuse. We hope that everyone working to stop domestic abuse will be able to use this data to improve their services so that victims and families get the right help sooner.

At a glance

11 services

935 clients entered services


769 cases closed

151 clients supported with criminal and civil justice



Location of the services in the dataset


Proportion of clients from diverse client groups



Key findings




After support from a health Idva, the **abuse stopped completely** for nearly half of clients




Nearly **half** of clients had issues with **mental health**, and **18%** had a **disability**. **12%** of clients had a **physical disability**.


Over **two thirds** of all referrals came from GP, hospital and maternity



Risk was reduced for nearly three quarters of clients



following support from a health Idva



22% health Idva clients were over the age of 50



In cases with recorded police reports, the perpetrator of abuse was **arrested** in **68%** cases

and **charged** in **47%** cases



Service inputs

Cases used in the analysis

Intake forms 935

In the period April 2015 to March 2018, caseworkers submitted 976 intake forms for clients entering health services. 38 forms were excluded from the dataset due to the client appearing twice, and 3 forms were excluded due to being completed incorrectly. These forms contain information about client demographics, and the characteristics of the abuse that clients accessing health services are experiencing.

Exit forms

769

Caseworkers submitted 800 exit forms for clients leaving health services for the period April 2015 to March 2018. Of these 15 of these were excluded due the client appearing more than once, and 16 were excluded due to being completed incorrectly. These forms were then matched to their corresponding intake form to provide a picture of client outcomes at the point of exit compared to intake. They also contain information about what interventions the client accessed.

Intake dates may occur prior to the period April 2015 to March 2018.

Criminal and civil justice forms

151

Caseworkers supporting clients with criminal and civil justice (CCJ) submitted 156 forms for the period April 2015 to March 2018. Of these, 4 were excluded due to the client appearing more than once and 1 was excluded due to being completed incorrectly. These forms provide information on the outcomes of any criminal and civil justice interventions at exit.

New Referrals/ Repeats	2015 - 2018	
	%	n=
New Referrals	89%	832
Repeats	11%	103
Total	100%	935

Cases are deemed repeats if the client has returned to the service after their case was previously closed (or made inactive).

Primary Referral Route	%	n
Health	87%	809
Self-referrals	5%	45
Police	2%	19
DV and SV services	2%	18
Marac	1%	8
CYP services	1%	11
Specialist services	1%	13
Other	1%	9
Housing	0%	3

Referral routes within Health		
	%	n
GP	26%	213
IRIS	9%	73
Hospital - A&E	20%	158
Hospital - Maternity	13%	103
Hospital - Other	12%	97
Community health - Health visitor	8%	62
Community health - Other	2%	16
Sexual health	1%	6
Mental health - primary	4%	33
Mental health - primary (IAPT)	0%	4
Mental health - secondary	2%	14
Drug/ alcohol services	0%	1
Other health	1%	12
Missing	2%	17

Client profile

Socio-demographic description of client accessing health services

(Information captured at intake, number of cases (n) = 935)

Demographic information at intake		
	%	n
Age of client		
<18	2%	16
18-20	7%	64
21-30	26%	247
31-40	25%	232
41-50	18%	171
51-60	12%	110
61+	10%	95
Gender identity		
Female	95%	892
Male	5%	43
Intersex	0%	0
Not gender assigned at birth	<1%	1

Sexual Orientation	%	n
Heterosexual	95%	886
LGB	2%	16
Missing	3%	33

Ethnicity	%	n
White British or Irish	87%	810
Other white background	4%	34
Asian	4%	38
Black	3%	24
Dual Heritage	1%	11
Arab	0%	1
Other	1%	9
Total B&ME	12%	111

National B&ME percentage is 18.6%. Note this dataset only reflects the demographics of the areas in which the services are located. Some year on year variation in B&ME percentage is therefore also due to changes in the services which make up the dataset.

Immigration	%	n
Clients needing an interpreter	1%	12
Clients with no recourse to public funds	2%	22
Clients needing to apply for ILR	2%	18

Children	%	n
Children in household	54%	502
No children in household	46%	433
Clients who are pregnant	13%	123

Total number of children	970
Average number of children per household with children	2.0

Ages of children	%	n
Under 3 years old	25%	247
3 to 4 years old	13%	125
5 to 7 years old	16%	152
8 to 11 years old	17%	167
12 to 14 years old	9%	88
15 to 17 years old	9%	86
Missing	11%	105

Children and young people services (CYPS)		n = 502
CYPS Involvement	%	n
Clients with CYPS involvement with the family	44%	220
Clients with no CYPS involvement with the family	52%	259
Missing	4%	23

Type of CYPS Involvement	%	n
Concern raised - no further action	12%	62
Concern raised - contacts/follow up	7%	33
Initial assessment	6%	32
S17 - Child in need	6%	28
S47 - Child protection	6%	30
S31 - Care or supervision order	1%	3
Child protection plan	2%	9
CAF	3%	14
Other	4%	22

Multiple needs at intake		n = 935
Within the past 12 months	%	n
Drugs misuse	6%	55
Alcohol misuse	10%	92
Mental health problems	43%	401
At any time		
Planned or attempted suicide	21%	200
Self-harmed	18%	170

Client has a disability	18%	n
Physical	12%	115
Learning	2%	23
Visual	<1%	4
Hearing	1%	9
Other	1%	9

Profile and history of abuse at intake

(Information captured at intake, n=935)

Clients' circumstances at intake		
Employment/ education	%	n
Paid employment	32%	300
Voluntary employment	<1%	2
In education/training	2%	16
Not in employment/ education	54%	506
No (retired)	8%	73
Missing	4%	38

Financial circumstances		
	%	n
Struggling to pay for essentials	21%	198
Can pay for essentials but nothing left after	32%	302
Can buy occasional treat and save a little	18%	167
Regular treats and saving or holidays	5%	51
Comfortably managing - don't have to worry	7%	61
Missing	17%	156

Information for financial circumstances was not collected prior to 2016 so data shown is from the 24 months to April 2018.

Relationship to perpetrator		
	%	n
Intimate partner	46%	432
Ex-intimate partner	42%	389
Intermittent intimate partner	3%	24
Family member (adult)	8%	71
Family member (minor)	1%	12
Other	1%	5

Additional risks		
	%	n
Multiple perpetrators	9%	85
Risk of forced marriage	<1%	3
Risk of 'honour'-based violence	1%	13

Living arrangements		
	%	n
Living together	42%	389
Not living together	52%	483
Living together intermittently	6%	58

Risk profile at intake		
Dash score	%	n
Between 0-5	24%	211
Between 6-9	28%	252
Over 10	48%	431
Average Dash score		9 ticks

Risk level	%	n
High risk	32%	297
Non-high risk	68%	638
Marac threshold	27%	250

38% of clients were assessed as high risk at intake and 34% of clients reached the threshold for referral to a multi-agency risk assessment conference (Marac).

History of abuse at intake		
Length of abuse	%	n
0-12 months	24%	239
1-2 years	16%	154
2-5 years	26%	258
5-10 years	14%	140
10+ years	19%	185
Average length of abuse		3 years

History of abuse		n = 935
	%	n
Yes, same partner in an earlier relationship	25%	235
Yes, by previous intimate partner	18%	167
Yes, perpetrated by family member	7%	61
Yes, direct abuse as a child/young person	6%	56
Yes, exposure to domestic abuse as a child/young person	7%	61
Yes, other	1%	6
No	34%	315

Information for history abuse was not collected prior to 2016, so data shown is from the period April 2016 to March 2018

Attempts to leave perpetrator in past 12 months		
Has the client attempted to leave the perpetrator?	%	n
Yes	54%	509
No	29%	268
Not Applicable	15%	142
Average number of times		2.3

Use of public services in past 12 months		
Reported the abuse to the police	%	n
Yes	43%	401
No	45%	418
Missing	12%	116
Average number of times		2.1

Visited their GP (for any reason)	%	n
Yes	56%	527
No	11%	105
Missing	33%	303
Average number of times		6.2

Attended A&E (as a result of the abuse)	%	n
Yes	30%	285
No	56%	521
Missing	14%	129
Average number of times		1.4

Accessed other specialist DV service	%	n
Yes	16%	147
No	64%	594
Missing	20%	194
Average number of times		1.4

Average number of times excludes data not applicable, available or missing

Profile of abuse at intake		
For the 3 months prior to intake:		
Type of abuse experienced by clients	%	n
Physical abuse	50%	463
Sexual abuse	16%	153
Harassment & stalking	54%	508
Jealous & controlling behaviours	81%	758

Severity of abuse		
% of clients experiencing high severity abuse	%	n
Physical abuse	25%	232
Sexual abuse	5%	47
Harassment & stalking	18%	168
Jealous & controlling behaviours	32%	299

% of clients experiencing moderate severity abuse	%	n
Physical abuse	13%	126
Sexual abuse	5%	44
Harassment & stalking	19%	176
Jealous & controlling behaviours	26%	239

% of clients experiencing standard severity abuse	%	n
Physical abuse	11%	105
Sexual abuse	7%	62
Harassment & stalking	18%	164
Jealous & controlling behaviours	11%	220

Changes in severity of abuse	
% of clients experiencing increase in severity of abuse	%
Physical abuse	22%
Sexual abuse	4%
Harassment & stalking	17%
Jealous & controlling behaviours	24%

% of clients experiencing no change in severity of abuse	
Physical abuse	16%
Sexual abuse	8%
Harassment & stalking	28%
Jealous & controlling behaviours	44%

% of clients experiencing reduction in severity of abuse	
Physical abuse	10%
Sexual abuse	5%
Harassment & stalking	8%
Jealous & controlling behaviours	12%

Changes in frequency of abuse	
% of clients experiencing increase in frequency of abuse	%
Physical abuse	20%
Sexual abuse	3%
Harassment & stalking	17%
Jealous & controlling behaviours	23%

% of clients experiencing no change in frequency of abuse	
Physical abuse	17%
Sexual abuse	7%
Harassment & stalking	28%
Jealous & controlling behaviours	43%

% of clients experiencing decrease in frequency of abuse	
Physical abuse	12%
Sexual abuse	5%
Harassment & stalking	9%
Jealous & controlling behaviours	14%

Multiple types of abuse and escalation	%	n
Multiple types of abuse reported	69%	644
Multiple types of high severity abuse reported	24%	227
At least one form of high severity abuse	44%	416
At least one form of high severity abuse which is escalating in frequency or severity	28%	262
Any escalation in severity of abuse	36%	334
Any escalation in frequency of abuse	34%	315
Any escalation in severity or frequency of abuse	38%	351

Primary perpetrator information		
Gender & gender identity	%	n
Female	5%	48
Male	93%	867
Intersex	<1%	1
Not gender assigned at birth	0%	0

Criminal record	%	n
Yes - DV related	24%	220
Yes - other violent crime	19%	174
Yes - non-violent crime	17%	159
No	35%	328

Client outcomes

Outcomes and profile of abuse at exit (Information captured at exit, n=769)

The following is an analysis of cases where an exit form was completed during the reporting period. Cases have been matched with their corresponding intake forms, and intake data here relates only to the cases which also have exit data, so will vary from the number of cases in the intake dataset.

82% of the cases with exit data were closed by the case worker according to the service's case closure policy. 17% were made inactive due to a prolonged period of no contact.

Client circumstances at exit n = 769		
Living arrangements at exit	%	n
Living together	25%	190
Not living together	69%	530
Living together intermittently	3%	23
Missing	3%	26

Where not living together, do the following apply? Not living together = 530		
	%	n
Perpetrator in jail	6%	32
Serious illness or death of perpetrator	1%	7
Other (perpetrator abroad, military duty, etc)	27%	142
None of the above	58%	306

If not living together, is there ongoing contact?		
	%	n
Clients reporting ongoing contact	38%	202
Clients reporting no ongoing contact	53%	279
Missing	9%	49

Reasons for ongoing contact Ongoing contact = 202		
	%	n
Children	67%	135
Family and social network	12%	25
Legal proceedings	10%	20
Financial arrangements	8%	16
Ongoing abuse	9%	19
Ongoing intimate partner relationship	4%	8
Other	9%	19

"Ongoing intimate partner relationship" option was added in Jan 2016, so the figures shown will be lower than the true figures.

Employment/education			n = 769
	%	n	
Paid	33%	253	
Voluntary	<1%	2	
In education	2%	14	
No	53%	408	
No (retired)	8%	61	
Missing	4%	31	

Profile of abuse at exit compared to intake			n = 769
	%	n	
No abuse experienced in past month / since intake	46%	350	

Type of abuse at exit compared to intake				
	%		n	
	Intake	Exit	Intake	Exit
Physical abuse	49%	17%	375	129
Sexual abuse	17%	3%	128	22
Harassment/stalking	55%	25%	426	192
Jealous and controlling behaviours	81%	41%	623	312

% of clients experiencing high severity abuse vs. intake		
	%	
	Intake	Exit
Physical abuse	25%	6%
Sexual abuse	5%	1%
Harassment/stalking	20%	4%
Jealous and controlling behaviours	34%	8%

% of clients experiencing moderate severity abuse vs. intake		
	%	
	Intake	Exit
Physical abuse	14%	6%
Sexual abuse	5%	1%
Harassment/stalking	20%	5%
Jealous and controlling behaviours	25%	8%

% of clients experiencing standard severity abuse vs. intake		
	%	
	Intake	Intake
Physical abuse	11%	7%
Sexual abuse	7%	1%
Harassment/stalking	16%	16%
Jealous and controlling behaviours	22%	25%

Multiple types of abuse and escalation at exit compared to intake				
	%		n	
	Intake	Exit	Intake	Exit
Multiple types of abuse reported	69%	27%	531	208
Multiple types of high severity abuse reported	26%	5%	202	39
At least one form of high severity abuse	46%	11%	352	86
At least one form of high severity abuse which is escalating in frequency or severity	31%	3%	235	21
Any escalation in severity of abuse	38%	3%	289	23
Any escalation in frequency of abuse	36%	3%	273	21
Any escalation in severity or frequency of abuse	40%	3%	305	25

Caseworker perception of risk at exit		
	%	n
Risk reduction		
Significant	43%	330
Moderate	29%	226
Limited	15%	119
Increased Risk	2%	13
Missing	11%	81
Significant/Moderate	72%	556

	%	n
Sustainability of any reduction in risk		
Very short term	1%	7
Short term	10%	55
Medium term	31%	170
Long term	34%	190
Risk permanently eliminated	10%	53
Missing	14%	81

Client reported outcomes at exit		n = 632
Feelings of safety	%	n
Much safer	56%	352
Somewhat safer	29%	181
No change	8%	52
Less safe	1%	4
Missing	6%	43
Somewhat / much safer	84%	533

	%	n
Quality of life		
Improved a lot	50%	313
Improved a little	36%	225
Not changed	8%	50
Become worse	1%	4
Missing	5%	40
Much / a little improved	85%	538

Confidence in accessing support	%	n
Very confident	67%	422
Confident	26%	164
Not confident	2%	10
Missing	5%	36
Very confident/confident	93%	586

Service outputs

Interventions

(Information captured at exit, n=769)

Case status at exit	%	n
Closed	82%	632
Unplanned closure	17%	132
Client fatality	1%	5

Intensity of support	%	n
Less than 5 contacts	26%	198
Between 5 and up to 10 contacts	32%	245
More than 10 contacts	42%	326
Average case length		2.2 months

Number of interventions	%	n
0 or 1 areas of support	13%	100
2 or 3 areas of support	41%	312
4 or 5 areas of support	24%	188
More than 5 areas of support	22%	169
Average number of interventions per client		3.9

Types of interventions and outcomes		n = 769
Areas of support	%	n
Safety planning	87%	669
Marac	30%	232
Police	34%	261
Criminal court process	18%	141
Probation	8%	63
Civil orders	17%	131
Housing	43%	331
Financial benefits	25%	192
Immigration	7%	52
Health & well-being	84%	646
Children	33%	252
HBV / Forced marriage	6%	46

Safelives recommends that all clients should receive safety planning.

Interventions accessed in each area of support

As a % of all clients accessing that area of support

Safety planning		Clients accessing support area = 669	
	%	n	
Safety plan	97%	649	

Police		Clients accessing support area = 261	
	%	n	
Protective measures	72%	188	
Arrest	36%	94	
Other	74%	194	

Criminal court process		Clients accessing support area = 141	
	%	n	
Process ongoing or pending	62%	88	
Conviction and sentence	48%	68	
Bail conditions	58%	82	
Other	56%	79	

Probation		Clients accessing support area = 63	
	%	n	
IDAP/perpetrator programme	78%	49	
Other	94%	59	

Civil orders		Clients accessing support area = 131	
	%	n	
Granted and enforced	53%	70	
Other	77%	101	

Housing		Clients accessing support area = 331	
	%	n	
Sanctuary scheme	20%	65	
Client re-housed in area	30%	98	
Client moved out of area	24%	81	
Perpetrator evicted	17%	57	
Refuge	23%	76	
Other	55%	183	

Financial benefits		Clients accessing support area = 192	
	%	n	
Benefits/monetary support	60%	115	
Debt being addressed	37%	71	
Employment (paid/ voluntary)	27%	52	
Other	52%	99	

Immigration	Clients accessing support area = 52	
	%	n
Leave to remain not dependent on perpetrator	85%	44
Recourse to public funds	88%	46
Other support with immigration	90%	47

Health & well-being	Clients accessing support area = 646	
	%	n
Improved access to help and support	76%	492
Improved coping strategies	67%	432
Clients engagement with:		
Mental health services	23%	149
Other health services	13%	81
Drug services	8%	49
Alcohol services	10%	63
Specialist DV services (not refuge)	11%	72
Specialist BME DV service	7%	43
Counselling	24%	153
Pattern changing course/similar	12%	79
Positive change in clients' support networks	18%	115
Engagement with adult safeguarding	11%	74
Midwife	13%	84
Peer-support Group	12%	76
Other	25%	164

Children	Clients accessing support area = 252	
	%	n
Child contact arrangements in place	46%	115
Safeguarding initiated/ issued/ addressed	60%	151
Civil orders (children) granted & enforced	25%	62
Special needs of children addressed	23%	59
Parenting courses	19%	49
Statutory Children's Service involvement	33%	83
Specialist DV support for CYP	25%	63
Other	38%	96

HBV / Forced marriage	Clients accessing support area = 46	
	%	n
Forced Marriage Unit	96%	44
Honour-based violence helpline	93%	43
Other specialist HBV/FM service	98%	45
Other	98%	45

Criminal and civil justice outcomes

Criminal justice system outcomes (Information captured at exit, n=151)

Criminal justice outcomes			n = 769
Police involvement			
Was a police report made?	%	n	
Yes, made by the victim	8%	62	
Yes, made by other	2%	16	
No	8%	59	
Total clients who had a police report made	10%	77	

When was the report made?	%	n	
Before engagement with service	7%	53	
After engagement with service	3%	20	

The above shows when the police report was made as percentages of all cases reviewed at exit. Below is shown as a percentages of all police reports made.

Police action			Police reports = 77
Was the perpetrator arrested?	%	n	
Yes	68%	52	
No	27%	21	

Was a domestic violence protection notice issued?	%	n	
Yes, issued	8%	6	
No	70%	54	

Was a domestic violence protection order granted?	%	n	
Applied, not granted	1%	1	
Applied, granted	6%	5	
Not applied	73%	56	

Action taken	%	n	
Cautioned	3%	2	
Fixed penalty notice	1%	1	
Charged	47%	36	
No further action	34%	26	

Crown prosecution service		Cases charged = 36
As % of cases charged		
Perpetrator was:	%	n
Released on bail	64%	23
Remanded in custody	28%	10
Did CPS proceed?		
	%	n
Yes, with support of victim	78%	28
Yes, but victim withdrew	8%	3
No, insufficient evidence	3%	1
Court information		CPS proceeded = 31
As % of CPS proceeded		
Where was the case initially heard?	%	n
Magistrate - SDVC	61%	19
Magistrate - Other	23%	7
Was the case passed to crown court?		
	%	n
Yes, for trial	26%	8
Yes, for sentencing	6%	2
Yes, for appeal	0%	0
No	32%	10
Who attended court?		
	%	n
Victim	32%	10
Perpetrator	39%	12
Other	10%	3
Caseworker	26%	8
Witness service	13%	4
Were special measures granted?		
	%	n
Not requested	35%	11
Granted	35%	11
Denied	0%	0
Court outcomes		
	%	n
Pled guilty	23%	7
Convicted	32%	10
Guilty verdict	55%	17
Acquitted	3%	1

Charges applied for		Cases charged = 36	
Offences against the person	%	n	
ABH (S47)	31%	11	
Common assault	25%	9	
Other offences against the person	11%	4	
GBH (S18)	8%	3	
Harassment (S2)	8%	3	
Affray	6%	2	
Breach of restraining order	6%	2	
Attempted murder	3%	1	
GBH (S20)	3%	1	
Rape	3%	1	
Threats to kill	3%	1	
Assault by penetration	0%	0	
Breach of non-molestation order	0%	0	
Breach of the peace	0%	0	
Communications/ malicious Communications Act offences	0%	0	
Drunk & disorderly	0%	0	
Female genital mutilation	0%	0	
Forced marriage	0%	0	
Harassment (S4)	0%	0	
Indecent assault	0%	0	
Murder	0%	0	
Public order act offences	0%	0	
Stalking (S2A)	0%	0	
Stalking (S4A)	0%	0	
Sexual assault	0%	0	
Witness intimidation	0%	0	
Don't know	6%	2	
Offences against property	%	n	
Criminal damage	8%	3	
Burglary/ attempted	3%	1	
Arson	0%	0	
Criminal trespassing	0%	0	
Theft	0%	0	
Threat to damage	0%	0	
Other offences against property	0%	0	
Don't know	3%	1	

Outcomes as % of charges applied for

For the 4 most common offences

ABH (S47)		Charges applied for = 11	
	%	n	
Pleaded guilty	9%	1	
Convicted	9%	1	
Acquitted	0%	0	
Missing	82%	9	

Common assault		Charges applied for = 9	
	%	n	
Pleaded guilty	33%	3	
Convicted	22%	2	
Acquitted	11%	1	
Missing	33%	3	

Other offences against the person		Charges applied for = 4	
	%	n	
Pleaded guilty	0%	0	
Convicted	25%	1	
Acquitted	0%	0	
Missing	75%	3	

GBH (S18)		Charges applied for = 3	
	%	n	
Pleaded guilty	0%	0	
Convicted	67%	2	
Acquitted	33%	1	
Missing	0%	0	

Offences against property (Where n>1)

Criminal damage		Charges applied for = 3	
	%	n	
Pleaded guilty	33%	1	
Convicted	0%	0	
Acquitted	0%	0	
Missing	67%	2	

Burglary/ attempted		Charges applied for = 1	
	%	n	
Pleaded guilty	0%	0	
Convicted	0%	0	
Acquitted	0%	0	
Missing	100%	1	

Penalties imposed as % of guilty verdicts		Guilty verdicts = 17	
	%	n	
Community order			
<i>DV-related specified activity order</i>	0%	0	
<i>Other specified activity order</i>	5%	1	
<i>Exclusion order</i>	0%	0	
<i>Other order</i>	11%	2	
Suspended sentence			
<i>DV-related specified activity order</i>	5%	1	
<i>Other specified activity order</i>	5%	1	
<i>Exclusion order</i>	5%	1	
<i>Other requirements</i>	5%	1	
Custodial sentence			
<i>up to 12 months</i>	21%	4	
<i>12 months or more</i>	16%	3	
<i>Indeterminate sentence</i>	0%	0	
Restraining order			
<i>up to 1 year</i>	32%	6	
<i>1 -5 years</i>	11%	2	
<i>5 or more years</i>	5%	1	
<i>Indefinite</i>	5%	1	
Bindover	0%	0	
Fine	16%	3	
Caution	0%	0	
Compensation	11%	2	
Other	16%	3	
Don't know	5%	1	

Civil justice outcomes

(Information captured at exit, n=769)

Civil justice outcomes		
Was the client supported with civil justice?	%	n
Yes	4%	34
No	15%	117
Missing	81%	618

Legal aid	Supported with civil justice = 34	
	%	n
Qualified for legal aid	41%	14
Did not qualify for legal aid	38%	13
Did not apply	6%	2

Provision of legal aid	%	n
Solicitor	65%	22
McKenzie friend	0%	0
Idva (DIY order)	15%	5
Other	12%	4
No legal support	15%	5

Civil orders applied for	%	n
Non-molestation order	32%	11
Occupation order with power of arrest (PoA)	0%	0
Occupation order	3%	1
Order under Protection from Harassment Act	0%	0
Injunction under Forced Marriage Act with PoA	0%	0
Contact order	18%	6
Prohibited steps order	12%	4
Specific issue order	6%	2
Residence order	24%	8
Other orders under the Children Act	21%	7

Civil orders granted/breached		
Non-molestation order	%	n
As a % of orders applied for:		n= 11
Granted	64%	7
Under-taking	9%	1
As a % of orders granted:		n= 7
Interim	0%	0
Final	57%	4
Indefinite	0%	0
Breached	0%	0
Contact order	%	n
As a % of orders applied for:		n= 6
Granted	67%	4
Under-taking	0%	0
As a % of orders granted:		n= 4
Interim	25%	1
Final	25%	1
Indefinite	0%	0
Breached	0%	0
Prohibited steps order	%	n
As a % of orders applied for:		n= 4
Granted	75%	3
Under-taking	0%	0
As a % of orders granted:		n= 3
Interim	33%	1
Final	0%	0
Indefinite	0%	0
Breached	0%	0
Residence order	%	n
As a % of orders applied for:		n= 8
Granted	88%	7
Under-taking	0%	0
As a % of orders granted:		n= 7
Interim	29%	2
Final	43%	3
Indefinite	0%	0
Breached	0%	0

Applications made by the perpetrator		n= 34
Cross applications made by the perpetrator	%	n
Contact order	26%	9
Other orders under Children Act	6%	2
Other cross application	3%	1

Fact finding hearing in cases where a cross application was made		n= 10
	%	n
Cases where there was a fact finding hearing	60%	6

Other perpetrator orders		n= 34
	2016	2015
Cases where the perpetrator applied for any other orders	9%	3